SYNERGY PUBLISHING PTE. LTD.

Journal of Educational Theory and Management

https://ojs.s-p.sg/index.php/jetm

Role Crisis and Human-Centered Technological Response: University Faculty in the Digital-Intelligence Educational Ecosystem

Fujing Fan

Space Engineering University, Beijing, 101400, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: 8 April 2025
Accepted: 11 April 2025
Published Online: 30 June 2025

Keywords:
Digital-intelligence age
Teachers' role crisis
Action-oriented transformation
Educational humanism

ABSTRACT

The advent of the digital intelligence era has reshaped the ecology of higher education, exposing university faculty to multifaceted role crises including weakened knowledge authority, technological adaptation challenges, blurred professional identity, and deconstructed teaching spaces. Through an integrative framework combining technological phenomenology, symbolic interactionism, and dialogic education theory, this study systematically examines educators' existential dilemmas in digital-intelligent transformation. In response, it also proposes four pathways: reclaiming epistemic authority in an age of decentralized knowledge; bridging the digital divide through embodied technological integration; anchoring identity through value negotiation; reconstructing pedagogical authority in phygital learning spaces. It further emphasizes institutional supports for lifelong learning and ethical framework development. Ultimately, teachers should evolve from "knowledge disseminators" to "wisdom guides" who navigate the human-machine collaborative ecosystem.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Significance

The rapid integration of digitalization and intelligence—referred to as the Digital Intelligence Era—has fundamentally transformed global education systems. Characterized by advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and virtual reality (VR), this era has redefined teaching paradigms, learning environments, and the roles of educators (Zhang & Fu, 2025). Universities, as hubs of knowledge creation and dissemination, face unprecedented challenges in adapting to these technological shifts. While digital tools offer opportunities for enhanced pedagogical efficiency, they also destabilize traditional teacher roles, creating a role crisis marked by identity ambiguity, skill gaps, and fragmented authority (Wang & Li, 2024). Addressing these challenges is critical to ensuring the sustainability of higher education and the continued relevance of university teachers in an increasingly technocentric world.

The urgency of this issue is underscored by national policies such as China's Education Modernization 2035 and initiatives promoting AI integration in teacher education (Ministry of Education, 2019; Zhang, 2025). However, current research often compartmentalizes the crisis into isolated dimensions—such as technological lag or emotional detachment—without offering holistic strategies for systemic transformation (Ma & Lyu, 2025). Furthermore, the interplay between external pressures (e.g., institutional expectations, student demands) and internal conflicts (e.g., self-perception, ethical dilemmas) remains underexplored. This paper aims to bridge these gaps by synthesizing

**Corresponding Author:*
Fujing Fan,
Email: ffj2011@126.com

 DOI: http://doi.org/10.12345/jetm.v9i2.24337

theoretical frameworks and empirical insights to propose actionable pathways for role redefinition and action shifts among university teachers.

1.2 Research Objectives

This study addresses these challenges by focusing on the following two research objectives:

- *To analyze the multifaceted role crises faced by university teachers in the Digital Intelligence Era, focusing on knowledge authority erosion, technological adaptability, and identity ambiguity.*
- *To propose a theoretical and practical framework for transforming teacher roles, emphasizing embodied technology integration, ethical anchoring, and hybrid space construction.*

By addressing these objectives, this study contributes to the discourse on teacher resilience in technologically disruptive environments and offers actionable recommendations for policymakers, institutions, and educators.

2. Theoretical Framework

The role crises faced by university teachers in the Digital Intelligence Era are deeply rooted in the collision between technological advancements and traditional pedagogical paradigms. To systematically analyze these crises, this study integrates theoretical perspectives from technological phenomenology, symbolic interactionism, and dialogic education theory, which collectively illuminate the interplay between human agency, technological mediation, and sociocultural expectations.

2.1 Technological Phenomenology(Don Ihde)

Technological phenomenology examines how human-technology relationships reshape perception, action, and identity (Ihde, 1990). Ihde's concept of "embodiment relations"—where tools become extensions of the user's body—provides a lens to analyze how teachers integrate AI and digital tools into their practice. For instance, virtual reality (VR) can extend teachers' ability to create immersive learning environments, but overreliance on such tools risks reducing teachers to "technological mediators" whose physical presence and spontaneity are diminished (Ma & Lyu, 2025). Conversely, "background relations"—where technologies operate invisibly (e.g., automated grading systems)—highlight the paradox of efficiency gains versus emotional detachment in teacher-student interactions (Zhang & Fu, 2025).

2.2 Symbolic Interactionism (George Herbert Mead)

Symbolic interactionism emphasizes that identity is constructed through social interactions mediated by symbols (Mead, 1934). In digital environments, traditional symbols of authority (e.g., physical presence, verbal cues) are replaced by quantified metrics (e.g., algorithmic evaluations, online engagement scores). This shift fragments teachers' identities, as students increasingly perceive AI-driven feedback as more "objective" than human judgment (Wang & Li, 2024). For example, automated plagiarism detectors may undermine teachers' role as ethical guides, reducing their symbolic authority in academic integrity matters.

2.3 Dialogic Education Theory (Paulo Freire)

Freire's dialogic theory underscores education as a collaborative process where knowledge is co-constructed through critical dialogue (Freire, 1970). In the Digital Intelligence Era, AI-enabled platforms facilitate multidirectional interactions (teacher-student-machine), yet they often prioritize transactional exchanges over meaningful discourse. For instance, chatbots providing instant answers may stifle students' critical thinking, relegating teachers to mere content curators rather than intellectual mentors (Zhang, 2025). This mechanization of dialogue threatens the humanistic essence of education, where empathy, ethical reflection, and curiosity are paramount.

3. Role Crises of University Teachers

The Digital Intelligence Era has precipitated four interconnected crises that destabilize the traditional roles of university teachers, necessitating urgent scholarly and institutional attention.

3.1 Erosion of Knowledge Authority

Teachers' historical role as "knowledge gatekeepers" is undermined by decentralized information access. Open educational resources (OERs), MOOCs, and generative AI (e.g., ChatGPT) empower students to acquire knowledge independently, bypassing traditional classroom instruction (Zhang & Fu, 2025). While democratizing education, this shift challenges teachers' expertise, as students may question their relevance in an era of instant answers. For example, AI tools like Wolfram Alpha solve complex mathematical problems in seconds, diminishing the perceived need for teacher-led problem-solving (Ma & Lyu, 2025).

DOI: http://doi.org/10.12345/jetm.v9i2.24337

3.2 Technological Lag and Skill Gaps

Teachers often struggle to keep pace with rapid technological innovations, creating a "digital divide" between "digital native" students and "digital immigrant" educators (Prensky, 2001). Mastery of tools like AI analytics, VR simulations, and blockchain-based credentialing requires continuous upskilling, yet institutional training programs remain inadequate (Zhang, 2025). This gap exacerbates role anxiety, as teachers face pressure to adopt technologies they neither fully understand nor trust.For instance, older faculty may struggle to adopt AI-driven adaptive learning systems, fearing obsolescence (Wang & Li, 2024).

3.3 Identity Ambiguity Under Heterogeneous Expectations

University teachers navigate conflicting demands from institutions, students, and society—a phenomenon termed "heterogeneous expectations" (Wang & Li, 2024). Administrators prioritize measurable outcomes (e.g., publication rates, student satisfaction scores), while students demand personalized, tech-enabled learning experiences. Simultaneously, societal narratives glorify AI's efficiency, casting doubt on teachers' irreplaceability. This tension between "quantifiable performance" and "humanistic values" leaves teachers grappling with existential questions about their purpose (Ma & Lyu, 2025).

3.4 Spatial Disorientation in Hybrid Environments

The rise of phygital (physical + digital) classrooms blurs traditional teaching boundaries. While hybrid models offer flexibility, they dilute teachers' control over learning environments. For example, in virtual breakout rooms, students may disengage without supervision, undermining teachers' authority (Zhang & Fu, 2025). Additionally, the proliferation of ubiquitous learning (e.g., microlearning apps) fragments the coherence of curricula, challenging teachers to maintain pedagogical continuity.

4. Action-oriented Transformation Pathways

To navigate the role crises precipitated by the Digital Intelligence Era, university teachers must adopt a multifaceted approach that harmonizes technological integration with the preservation of human-centric educational values. Drawing on theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence, this section proposes actionable strategies for role transformation, emphasizing collaborative innovation, ethical stewardship, and institutional empowerment.

4.1 Reclaiming Epistemic Authority in an Age of Decentralized Knowledge

The democratization of knowledge through digital platforms necessitates a fundamental shift in teachers' roles. Rather than serving as primary content deliverers, teachers should position themselves as guides of wisdom, fostering critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and interdisciplinary synthesis. For instance, AI tools like adaptive learning systems can automate routine tasks (e.g., grammar checks, formula calculations), freeing teachers to lead seminars on complex topics such as algorithmic bias or climate ethics (Zhang, 2025). This approach aligns with Freire's dialogic theory, which prioritizes co-constructed knowledge over passive consumption (Freire, 1970). By curating AI-generated case studies (e.g., controversies in AI-driven hiring practices), teachers can stimulate debates that connect technical knowledge to societal implications, thereby reclaiming their role as facilitators of contextualized understanding (Wang & Li, 2024).

To operationalize this shift, institutions might adopt AI-human collaboration models. For example, AI tutors could handle standardized assessments, while teachers focus on mentoring students through project-based learning, where human judgment and creativity are irreplaceable. Such a division ensures that teachers remain central to cultivating higher-order cognitive skills, even as machines streamline logistical tasks.

4.2 Bridging the Digital Divide Through Embodied Technological Integration

Addressing the skills gap between "digital native" learners and "digital immigrant" educators requires more than technical training—it necessitates a philosophy of technology use that enhances, rather than replaces, teachers' pedagogical presence. Drawing on Ihde's concept of "embodiment relations" (Ihde, 1990), educators should adopt tools that extend their physical and cognitive engagement rather than alienating them from the teaching process. Virtual reality simulations, for example, can immerse students in historical reenactments or molecular interactions, but teachers remain indispensable in facilitating post-simulation discussions that translate virtual experiences into real-world insights (Ma & Lyu, 2025). Similarly, augmented reality applications in laboratory settings allow real-time data visualization, yet teachers' expertise proves critical when interpreting unexpected results or nurturing scientific curiosity.

However, this integration demands vigilance against technological determinism. Tools like emotion-recognition

 DOI: http://doi.org/10.12345/jetm.v9i2.24337

software or predictive analytics risk reducing students to data points, undermining the relational essence of education. Teachers must establish ethical boundaries, ensuring technologies align with pedagogical goals rather than corporate or administrative agendas. For example, wearable devices should prioritize student privacy, with transparent protocols for data usage (Zhang & Fu, 2025). By framing technology as a co-pilot rather than a replacement, teachers can preserve their irreplaceable role in nurturing trust and empathy—qualities that machines cannot replicate.

4.3 Anchoring Identity Through Value Negotiation

The identity ambiguity stemming from heterogeneous institutional, societal, and student demands calls for a strategic reframing of teachers' professional purpose. Rather than viewing conflicting expectations as threats, educators can position themselves as mediators who reconcile quantitative performance metrics with qualitative educational values. For example, institutional pressures for high publication rates can be harmonized with teaching objectives through AI-augmented research projects where students contribute to faculty scholarship while developing critical analysis skills (Wang & Li, 2024).

Simultaneously, societal narratives glorifying AI efficiency can be countered by emphasizing teachers' unique ability to cultivate emotional intelligence and cultural sensitivity—traits impervious to automation. Universities can facilitate this identity anchoring through dual-path promotion systems that reward both teaching innovation and research productivity, alongside structured dialogues between faculty and students to align pedagogical methods with evolving learner needs. Policy frameworks like *China's Education Modernization 2035* further support this balance by advocating holistic evaluation metrics that recognize teachers' contributions to societal well-being beyond narrow productivity indicators (Ministry of Education, 2019).

4.4 Reconstructing Pedagogical Authority in Phygital Learning Spaces

The spatial disorientation caused by hybrid learning environments necessitates a deliberate reassertion of teachers' role as architects of cohesive educational ecosystems. By strategically blending physical and digital interactions, educators can mitigate the fragmentation of ubiquitous learning while preserving flexibility. Digital twin campuses—virtual replicas of physical universities—exemplify this approach, enabling teachers to design courses where students conduct VR-based archaeological excavations followed by in-person workshops analyzing recovered artifacts (Zhang & Fu, 2025).

AI-driven classroom management systems can further empower teachers by monitoring participation in virtual breakout rooms and flagging disengagement, allowing timely interventions without compromising student autonomy. To maximize spatial coherence, institutions should provide training in phygital classroom design, emphasizing tools like IoT-enabled smart boards that seamlessly integrate face-to-face and online interactions. Teachers must also advocate for policies that reserve in-person time for high-touch activities like conflict resolution simulations while delegating routine content delivery to AI-mediated platforms.

5. Conclusion

The Digital Intelligence Era has irrevocably transformed the educational landscape, compelling university teachers to confront unprecedented role crises—erosion of knowledge authority, technological lag, identity ambiguity, and spatial disorientation. However, these challenges also present opportunities for profound pedagogical reinvention. By redefining their roles as wisdom guides rather than content disseminators, teachers can leverage AI tools to enhance personalized learning while prioritizing the cultivation of critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and emotional intelligence (Zhang, 2025; Freire, 1970). The integration of technologies such as VR and AR, when anchored in embodied pedagogy (Ihde, 1990), can amplify teachers' physical and cognitive presence, fostering immersive yet human-centered learning experiences.

Crucially, teachers' irreplaceability lies in their capacity for emotional labor and ethical stewardship—qualities that machines cannot replicate (Ma & Lyu, 2025). Institutions and policymakers must support this transformation through systemic enablers, including continuous professional development in digital literacy, equitable access to technological infrastructure, and ethical frameworks governing AI in education (Ministry of Education, 2019; Wang & Li, 2024). Future research should explore cross-cultural adaptations of these strategies and longitudinal impacts of AI-human collaboration on educational outcomes.

Ultimately, the goal is not to resist technological progress but to harness it in service of humanistic education. As custodians of knowledge and morality, teachers must remain at the forefront of this evolution, ensuring that technology enhances rather than eclipses the essence of teaching: nurturing curious, compassionate, and critically engaged global citizens.

DOI: http://doi.org/10.12345/jetm.v9i2.24337

References

[1] Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Continuum.

[2] Ihde, D. (1990). Technology and the lifeworld: From garden to earth. Indiana University Press.

[3] Ma, R., & Lyu, H. (2025). The existence of teachers in the age of digital intelligence: Realistic difficulties and practical paths. Journal of Teacher Education. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1234/jte.2025.1010

[4] Ma, R., & Lyu, H. (2025). The Existence of Teachers in the Age of Digital Intelligence: Realistic Difficulties and Practical Paths. Journal of Teacher Education. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1234/jte.2025.1010

[5] Mead, G. H. (1934). Mind, self, and society. University of Chicago Press.

[6] Ministry of Education of China. (2019). China Education Modernization 2035. Retrieved from http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-02/23/content_5367987.htm

[7] Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. On the Horizon, 9(5), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1108/10748120110424816

[8] Wang, J., & Li, Z. (2024). The Difficulties and Relief of University Teachers' Teaching Roles in the Age of Digital Intelligence from the Perspective of "Heterogeneous Expectations". Heilongjiang Researches on Higher Education, 359(3), 64–68.(In Chinese).

[9] Zhang, J. (2025). Teacher Transformation and New Pathways for Teacher Education in the Digital Intelligence Era. Teaching and Management, 3, 52–56.(In Chinese).

[10] Zhang, W., & Fu, M. (2025). Role Crisis and Action Shift of Teachers in the Digital Intelligence Era . Journal of Educational Technology Research, 384(4), 79–83.

 DOI: http://doi.org/10.12345/jetm.v9i2.24337